Sensor Fusion for Obstacle Detection and Navigation in Embedded Autonomous Reconnaissance Drones

Abimbola Olamide Ayotomiwa[1,*], **Toheeb Oluwaseun Adamolekun**[1]
[1]Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering,
North Carolina A&T State University, Greensboro, NC, USA
*Corresponding author: bimbolahan@gmail.com

https://doi.org/DOI: 10.56201/ijcsmt.v10.no6.2024.pg169.186

Abstract

The increasing deployment of autonomous reconnaissance drones in complex operational environments has intensified the need for reliable obstacle detection, accurate localization, and responsive navigation within constrained embedded computing architectures. This review critically examines the technological foundations, capabilities, limitations, and emerging developments of multisensor fusion approaches supporting autonomous aerial perception and navigation. Adopting a qualitative literature review methodology, the study synthesizes published research on vision, LiDAR, radar, ultrasonic sensing, inertial measurement units, positioning systems, probabilistic estimation, deep learning algorithms, and obstacle avoidance strategies. The findings reveal that complementary sensor integration can enhance environmental awareness, positioning continuity, and obstacle recognition by compensating for limitations associated with individual sensing modalities. Kalman filtering and related probabilistic methods provide structured mechanisms for uncertainty management and state estimation, while deep learning-based multimodal fusion offers opportunities for improved feature interpretation and adaptive environmental perception. However, computational latency, sensor synchronization errors, calibration requirements, energy consumption, communication vulnerabilities, and environmental interference continue to constrain operational reliability. The review further identifies limited standardized evaluation frameworks and insufficient validation under challenging reconnaissance conditions as significant research gaps. It concludes that dependable autonomous navigation requires coordinated perception, localization, trajectory planning, and flight control rather than isolated improvements in sensing or algorithmic performance. Accordingly, future investigations should prioritize lightweight and fault-tolerant fusion architectures, uncertainty-aware estimation, energy-efficient embedded processing, and secure communication mechanisms. Standardized experimental benchmarking, realistic field validation, and systematic evaluation of navigation resilience under degraded sensing conditions are recommended to strengthen the reliability, scalability, and practical deployment of next-generation autonomous aerial reconnaissance systems across diverse operational environments and mission requirements worldwide.

Keywords: *Multisensor Fusion; Autonomous Reconnaissance Drones; Obstacle Detection; Embedded Systems; Deep Learning; Autonomous Navigation.*

1. Introduction

1.1 Background of Embedded Autonomous Reconnaissance Drones

Embedded autonomous reconnaissance drones represent an important development in unmanned aerial systems, combining aerial mobility with onboard perception, computation, and decision-making. Unlike remotely piloted platforms that depend continuously on human instructions, autonomous drones can execute prescribed missions while adjusting their behaviour in response to observed environmental conditions. Reconnaissance encompasses surveillance, terrain inspection, infrastructure assessment, disaster observation and the acquisition of spatial information in locations that may be hazardous or difficult to access. The expanding use of unmanned aerial vehicles across such activities reflects their mobility and operational flexibility, although endurance, communication reliability and collision avoidance remain persistent limitations (Shakhatreh et al., 2019). The distinction between automated flight and meaningful autonomy is particularly important. Conventional autopilots can maintain attitude, altitude and predefined waypoint trajectories without necessarily understanding nearby hazards. Greater autonomy requires the integration of guidance, navigation and control with environmental perception, allowing a platform to estimate its own state, identify changes in surrounding space and modify trajectories safely. Research on unmanned rotorcraft has established these functions as interdependent components of autonomous operation, rather than independent capabilities that can be optimized without considering their interactions (Kendoul, 2012). For reconnaissance missions, environmental awareness must be sustained while the aircraft moves through complex and sometimes unfamiliar settings. Cameras capture detailed visual information, whereas ranging sensors can provide geometric estimates of surrounding objects; inertial instruments contribute rapid measurements of motion. However, each modality has limitations arising from lighting, texture, measurement noise, occlusion, or changing conditions. An autonomous aircraft therefore benefits from combining complementary observations into a consistent representation of its position and nearby obstacles. An experimental aerial system for urban search and rescue demonstrated the practical integration of laser and stereo-vision odometry with inertial measurements for navigation where external infrastructure could not be assumed available (Tomic et al., 2012). Operational interest extends well beyond military reconnaissance. Reviews of drone-based surveillance in smart cities identify applications involving infrastructure observation, environmental monitoring, disaster response and object detection. These missions place considerable demands on the quality and timeliness of airborne measurements because delayed recognition of obstacles or inaccurate estimates of spatial relationships can compromise safe movement and the utility of collected information (Gohari et al., 2022).

Evidence from African settings further demonstrates the practical relevance of aerial observation. Research undertaken in Nigeria examines unmanned aircraft for pipeline surveillance, where distributed infrastructure and difficult terrain create demanding inspection requirements (Osaro et al., 2024). A South African review similarly documents the use of remotely sensed UAV imagery for mining activities, including topographic assessment and slope monitoring, while identifying sensor compatibility and implementation cost as constraints on wider application (Loots, Grobbelaar & van der Lingen, 2022). The practical deployment of these capabilities depends on embedded architectures that coordinate sensing, computation and control under restricted payload, power and processing budgets. In broader automation research, integrated supervisory systems demonstrate the significance of coordinated data acquisition, timely processing and dependable control decisions (Omoegun et al., 2024). For reconnaissance drones, however, the critical processing loop must often operate onboard, particularly where communications are intermittent.

These requirements make sensor integration and local obstacle-aware navigation fundamental design considerations for small autonomous aircraft operating amid uncertain environmental conditions. Their effectiveness consequently depends on balancing perception accuracy, responsiveness, and operational resilience.

1.2 Evolution of Multisensor Fusion in Drone Navigation

The evolution of multisensor fusion in autonomous drone navigation reflects a transition from conventional positioning techniques toward integrated perception systems capable of supporting reliable flight under uncertain environmental conditions. Early navigation architectures primarily combined satellite positioning with inertial measurements, using probabilistic estimation to compensate for individual sensor limitations. However, satellite signal degradation, accumulated inertial drift, and changing environmental characteristics exposed weaknesses in conventional navigation approaches, encouraging the development of more sophisticated estimation frameworks. A significant advancement emerged through the integration of visual information with inertial measurements. Research undertaken in South Africa demonstrated how extended Kalman filtering could combine camera observations and inertial data to improve mobile robot pose estimation, establishing principles applicable to autonomous aerial localization (Alatise & Hancke, 2017). Subsequent developments in aerial robotics emphasized visual-inertial navigation, where complementary sensor measurements improve motion estimation and navigation continuity during challenging flight conditions (Santoso, Garratt & Anavatti, 2017). The expansion of sensor fusion subsequently incorporated LiDAR measurements alongside visual sensing, enabling more comprehensive environmental reconstruction. Visual-LiDAR simultaneous localization and mapping frameworks exploit complementary geometric and visual information to strengthen localization and spatial representation, particularly when individual sensing modalities encounter limitations (Debeunne & Vivet, 2020). Parallel developments in sensor-based automation have reinforced the importance of continuous monitoring and intelligent interpretation of heterogeneous measurements. Nigerian research on sensor-based fault detection illustrates how integrated monitoring supports automated assessment of changing operating conditions, providing transferable insights into dependable embedded sensing architectures (Sunday & Omoegun, 2022). More recently, advances in onboard processing and simultaneous localization and mapping have expanded the feasibility of autonomous navigation in complex outdoor environments. Contemporary UAV research identifies increasing attention to visual-inertial integration, LiDAR-based mapping and computationally efficient estimation methods, alongside unresolved challenges involving sensor synchronization, environmental variability and processing limitations (Wang et al., 2024). These developments establish the technological foundation for increasingly resilient reconnaissance platforms requiring continuous obstacle awareness and adaptive navigation decisions.

1.3 Research Problem and Knowledge Gaps

Despite advancements in autonomous aerial technologies, reliable obstacle detection and navigation remain significant challenges in embedded reconnaissance drones operating within complex and unpredictable environments. These platforms must continuously perceive surrounding obstacles, estimate their spatial orientation, and execute appropriate navigation decisions while functioning under stringent computational, energy, and payload constraints. Although individual sensing technologies have demonstrated considerable capabilities, their operational limitations frequently compromise navigation accuracy, environmental awareness, and

flight safety. Cameras remain susceptible to illumination variations and visual obstructions, while LiDAR, radar, and inertial sensors encounter challenges associated with measurement uncertainty, environmental interference, and accumulated estimation errors. Consequently, dependence on isolated sensing modalities cannot consistently guarantee reliable autonomous operation.

A fundamental research problem concerns the development of efficient multisensor fusion architectures capable of integrating heterogeneous measurements without exceeding the processing capabilities of embedded hardware. Existing fusion approaches often involve trade-offs between estimation accuracy, computational complexity, response latency, and energy consumption. Furthermore, maintaining precise temporal synchronization and spatial calibration across sensors remains difficult, particularly during rapid manoeuvres and changing environmental conditions. These limitations introduce uncertainties that may propagate through localization, obstacle recognition, and trajectory planning processes, potentially compromising autonomous decision-making. Important knowledge gaps also persist regarding the comparative effectiveness of probabilistic estimation, conventional filtering, and deep learning-based fusion techniques under realistic reconnaissance conditions. The robustness of these approaches in GPS-denied environments, low-visibility conditions, densely obstructed spaces, and resource-constrained platforms remains insufficiently established across standardized evaluation settings. Additionally, limited consistency in experimental benchmarks complicates comparisons of detection accuracy, navigation reliability, processing latency, and operational efficiency.

Addressing these gaps requires a systematic examination of sensor technologies, fusion algorithms, embedded architectures, and obstacle avoidance strategies. Particular attention must be directed toward identifying computationally feasible integration approaches that maintain environmental perception, navigation precision, and operational resilience during demanding autonomous reconnaissance missions across diverse operating conditions without dependence on continuous external positioning support.

1.4 Review Aim, Objectives, and Scope

This review aims to critically examine the technological foundations, operational capabilities, and emerging developments of multisensor fusion for obstacle detection and autonomous navigation in embedded reconnaissance drones. It seeks to establish a comprehensive understanding of how complementary sensing technologies, intelligent estimation algorithms, and resource-efficient computing architectures contribute to reliable environmental perception and navigation under complex operating conditions. The specific objectives are to evaluate the characteristics, capabilities, and limitations of vision, LiDAR, radar, ultrasonic, inertial, and positioning sensors employed in autonomous aerial platforms; assess the effectiveness of probabilistic filtering, Kalman-based estimation, and deep learning-driven fusion techniques; and examine obstacle detection, trajectory planning, and collision avoidance strategies supporting autonomous reconnaissance missions. Furthermore, the review investigates computational constraints, sensor synchronization difficulties, environmental uncertainties, and system integration challenges affecting real-time navigation performance. The scope encompasses sensor technologies, embedded processing architectures, multisensor data integration, localization methods, environmental mapping, and adaptive navigation strategies relevant to small autonomous reconnaissance drones. Particular emphasis is placed on computational efficiency, detection reliability, navigation accuracy, operational resilience, and performance in GPS-denied or environmentally degraded conditions. The review adopts a critical literature-based approach, synthesizing established methodologies and emerging technological developments rather than

conducting experimental validation. Through this examination, it seeks to identify unresolved technical limitations, clarify research priorities, and provide an informed foundation for developing robust, computationally feasible, and dependable autonomous reconnaissance systems.

2. Sensor Technologies and Embedded System Architectures

Reliable obstacle detection in embedded autonomous reconnaissance drones depends fundamentally on sensing technologies capable of characterizing surrounding environments with sufficient spatial accuracy, temporal responsiveness, and computational efficiency. Vision, light detection and ranging (LiDAR), radar, and ultrasonic sensors provide complementary environmental measurements, although their suitability varies according to operating altitude, obstacle characteristics, environmental conditions, and onboard resource limitations. Understanding their respective capabilities is essential for designing effective multisensor perception architectures that support collision avoidance and autonomous navigation.

Vision-based sensors, including monocular, stereo, and depth cameras, provide detailed visual information for obstacle recognition, scene interpretation, and environmental mapping. Image-processing techniques facilitate feature extraction and object identification, while advances in computer vision enable increasingly sophisticated detection under variable operating conditions. Research involving automated template matching demonstrates the methodological importance of extracting distinctive image features for computational recognition (Ejofodomi et al., 2014). Nevertheless, visual sensing remains vulnerable to illumination changes, motion blur, occlusion, and inadequate depth information, particularly during low-altitude reconnaissance (Badrloo et al., 2022). LiDAR employs laser measurements to generate spatial representations of surrounding objects, enabling precise distance estimation and three-dimensional environmental reconstruction. Its application is particularly valuable for detecting structural obstacles, vegetation, and irregular terrain. Research conducted in South Africa demonstrated that UAV-LiDAR measurements of savanna vegetation are influenced by flight altitude, acquisition geometry, and sensor configuration, emphasizing the importance of appropriate deployment parameters (Boucher et al., 2023). Furthermore, combining LiDAR measurements with camera imagery enables complementary geometric and visual information to improve the identification and localization of static and moving obstacles (Ma et al., 2021). Radar systems employ radio-frequency signals to estimate target distance and, depending on configuration, radial velocity and angular position. Their relative resilience under fog, dust, and reduced visibility makes them valuable for reconnaissance missions where optical sensing becomes unreliable. Ultrasonic sensors, conversely, estimate proximity through reflected acoustic waves and are particularly suitable for short-range obstacle detection, landing assistance, and low-speed manoeuvres. However, acoustic attenuation, limited effective range, angular resolution, and interference constrain their operational applicability. Sensor selection consequently requires careful consideration of detection range, measurement precision, environmental robustness, and payload restrictions (Chandran et al., 2023). Environmental variability further complicates obstacle perception. Nigerian research employing satellite imagery to identify flood-exposed transportation infrastructure illustrates how remotely sensed observations can support spatial hazard assessment while remaining subject to environmental and measurement limitations (Alaka et al., 2022). For embedded reconnaissance platforms, robust perception therefore requires sensor configurations that compensate for individual weaknesses. Contemporary research emphasizes integrating complementary sensing modalities to improve obstacle awareness, detection reliability, and collision avoidance under uncertain flight conditions (Lu et al., 2023).

2.2 Inertial Measurement Units and Positioning Systems

Accurate positioning constitutes a fundamental requirement for embedded autonomous reconnaissance drones because obstacle avoidance, trajectory tracking, and environmental mapping depend on continuous knowledge of aircraft position, velocity, and orientation. Inertial measurement units (IMUs), typically incorporating three-axis accelerometers and gyroscopes, provide high-frequency measurements of linear acceleration and angular motion. Their independence from external signals makes them indispensable during rapid manoeuvres and temporary communication interruptions. Nevertheless, sensor bias, vibration, temperature variation, and integration errors produce progressively increasing uncertainty when inertial measurements are used independently. Global navigation satellite systems (GNSS) provide geographically referenced positioning that constrains accumulated inertial drift during outdoor operations. However, signal obstruction, multipath propagation, and interference may degrade positioning near buildings, beneath vegetation, or within confined environments. Experimental multi-environment UAV navigation research demonstrates the value of integrating GNSS, inertial measurements, optical flow, and complementary ranging sensors to sustain three-dimensional state estimation as operating conditions change (Du et al., 2020). Positioning accuracy can be improved through real-time kinematic corrections, although their practical implementation depends on correction availability, receiver configuration, and communication infrastructure. South African research on a low-cost mobile geolocation service illustrates approaches to improving precise satellite-based positioning while controlling system cost, a relevant consideration for lightweight field-deployed aerial platforms (Broekman & Gräbe, 2021). Complementary research on geospatial decision support in Nigeria highlights the significance of measurement reliability and field validation when spatial information is derived from heterogeneous sources (Tiamiyu et al., 2023). Where satellite positioning becomes unreliable, visual-inertial odometry estimates relative movement through camera observations and inertial propagation. Reviews of GPS-denied navigation identify the importance of alternative localization strategies, while emphasizing accumulated drift and sensitivity to environmental features (Chang et al., 2023). Incorporating ultra-wideband ranging can introduce additional spatial constraints, as demonstrated in indoor UAV navigation systems combining radio positioning with visual-inertial estimates (Lin & Zhan, 2023). Cooperative positioning provides another approach when multiple reconnaissance aircraft operate together. Intervehicle ranging integrated with IMU measurements enables relative state estimation without continuous satellite access, although observability and communication reliability remain important limitations (Cheng, Ren & Deng, 2023). Experimental research involving airborne radar, inertial sensing, and complementary estimators further demonstrates how independent velocity information can reduce localization deterioration during simulated GNSS outages (Ahmed et al., 2024). Accordingly, embedded navigation architectures must balance sensor quality, reference availability, synchronization, computational cost, and estimation continuity to deliver sufficiently dependable positioning for real-time obstacle avoidance and reconnaissance missions across varying environments and operational constraints.

2.3 Embedded Processors and Real-Time Sensor Integration

Embedded processors constitute the computational foundation of autonomous reconnaissance drones, enabling continuous sensor acquisition, state estimation, environmental interpretation, and navigation control. Their architecture must accommodate demanding real-time workloads while satisfying strict limitations involving power consumption, processing capacity, memory availability, and payload weight. Microcontrollers, multicore processors, graphics processing

units, and specialized hardware accelerators provide different computational capabilities, making hardware selection dependent on mission complexity and required response times. Research examining autonomous unmanned aircraft architectures emphasizes the relationship between onboard computational resources, functional responsibilities, and achievable autonomy levels (Mejias et al., 2021). Real-time integration requires coordinated communication between heterogeneous sensors and processing subsystems. Cameras, LiDAR, radar, and inertial measurement units operate at different sampling frequencies, generating measurements that must be synchronized, calibrated, and interpreted consistently. Research from Botswana highlights the importance of deterministic processing, task scheduling, and real-time operating systems in maintaining dependable drone control under changing operational conditions (Kangunde, Jamisola & Theophilus, 2021). In embedded reconnaissance platforms, these requirements become particularly critical when delayed sensor updates compromise obstacle detection or trajectory adjustments. Efficient sensor integration also depends on communication protocols, data acquisition reliability, and systematic coordination between processing components. Nigerian research examining industrial automation through Siemens TIA Portal illustrates the importance of integrated control architectures, interoperability, and synchronized operational information in automated environments (Sunday & Omoegun, 2022). Similarly, digital twin research involving smart substations demonstrates the significance of coordinated sensing, communication, and computational monitoring for responsive fault identification (Shittu et al., 2023).

Advances in embedded artificial intelligence have expanded onboard perception capabilities. Ultralow-power neural processing architectures demonstrate that computationally intensive perception tasks can be optimized for miniature aerial platforms through model quantization and hardware-aware implementation (Palossi et al., 2022). Furthermore, lightweight neural networks and embedded inference accelerators enable multimodal semantic interpretation of visual, thermal, and LiDAR observations, strengthening real-time environmental representation (Bultmann et al., 2023). Nevertheless, computational capability alone cannot guarantee dependable autonomous navigation. Experimental implementations combining low-cost sensors, onboard estimation, mapping, and collision-free planning demonstrate the importance of integrating perception algorithms with available hardware resources (Youn et al., 2021). Consequently, effective embedded architectures require balanced processor allocation, bounded processing latency, robust intersensor communication, and energy-efficient execution. These considerations are particularly important for reconnaissance drones operating within cluttered environments, where uninterrupted environmental awareness and timely navigation decisions determine operational reliability. Hardware and software must therefore function as an integrated perception-control architecture capable of sustaining reliable autonomous operation without continuous reliance on external computational infrastructure.

3. Sensor Fusion Techniques for Autonomous Navigation

3.1 Kalman Filtering and Probabilistic Estimation Methods

Kalman filtering and probabilistic estimation methods provide a mathematical foundation for integrating heterogeneous sensor measurements in embedded autonomous reconnaissance drones. These techniques enable continuous estimation of aircraft position, velocity, orientation, and associated uncertainties despite imperfect observations and changing flight conditions. The conventional Kalman filter applies recursive prediction and measurement correction to linear systems with Gaussian noise assumptions, offering computational advantages for resource-constrained platforms. Nigerian research investigating conventional and particle swarm

optimization-enhanced Kalman filtering demonstrates the importance of covariance parameter selection in aircraft position tracking (Jibia, 2024).

For nonlinear aerial dynamics, extended Kalman filtering (EKF) employs local linearization to accommodate nonlinear system and measurement relationships. The multi-state constraint Kalman filter illustrates how visual feature observations across successive camera poses can constrain inertial navigation estimates without explicitly retaining all observed landmarks within the filter state (Mourikis & Roumeliotis, 2007). Nevertheless, approximation errors and inaccurate covariance assumptions may undermine estimation consistency during aggressive manoeuvres or unreliable measurements. Alternative formulations address these limitations through different representations of uncertainty. Unscented Kalman filtering (UKF) propagates selected sigma points through nonlinear models, providing an alternative to Jacobian-based linearization. Its integration of ultra-wideband ranging and inertial measurements demonstrates applications in quadrotor localization under satellite-denied conditions (You et al., 2020). Adaptive EKF architectures additionally adjust estimation parameters to accommodate changing sensor reliability, improving positioning robustness within confined environments (Yang et al., 2023).

Table 1. Comparative characteristics of probabilistic estimation methods for embedded autonomous drone navigation

Estimation method	Principal capability	Main limitation	Application relevance
Conventional Kalman filter	Recursive linear state estimation	Restricted by linear modelling and noise assumptions	Position and velocity tracking
Extended Kalman filter	Nonlinear estimation through local linearization	Susceptible to linearization errors	Visual-inertial navigation and localization
Unscented Kalman filter	Nonlinear uncertainty propagation using sigma points	Higher computational demands in some implementations	UWB–IMU positioning
Adaptive Kalman filtering	Adjustment to changing measurement uncertainty	Depends on reliable adaptation mechanisms	Navigation under variable sensor quality
Multi-state constraint Kalman filter	Exploits multi-frame visual constraints	Sensitive to visual feature quality	Camera–IMU odometry
Two-stage Kalman filtering	Separates interacting estimation problems	Requires appropriate coupling between stages	Wind and flight-state estimation

Source: Author's synthesis of the estimation methods examined in this review. The comparison is qualitative and does not imply experimentally established superiority across identical UAV conditions.

Multisensor fusion becomes particularly important when environmental uncertainty compromises individual sensing modalities. Research involving Egyptian investigators demonstrates how Hall-magnetic and air-mass flow measurements can complement inertial sensing during GNSS outages, strengthening estimation continuity in indoor navigation (Zahran et al., 2019). Similarly, GNSS, inertial navigation, and LiDAR integration provides complementary positioning information for UAV operation in satellite-challenging environments (Elamin et al., 2022).

Probabilistic methods also contribute to disturbance estimation and operational resilience. Two-stage filtering frameworks have been investigated for estimating wind disturbances and UAV flight parameters through coordinated estimation processes (Hajiyev, Cilden-Guler & Hacizade, 2020). Meanwhile, visual-inertial preintegration enables efficient incorporation of high-frequency inertial measurements into optimization-based state estimation, reducing repeated computational processing during successive navigation updates (Forster et al., 2017).

3.2 Deep Learning and Multimodal Fusion Algorithms

Deep learning and multimodal fusion algorithms have substantially advanced environmental perception in embedded autonomous reconnaissance drones by enabling the interpretation of heterogeneous sensor measurements within integrated computational frameworks. Unlike conventional approaches that depend primarily on manually designed features, deep neural networks learn hierarchical representations directly from sensor observations, facilitating obstacle recognition, semantic classification, and spatial interpretation. These capabilities are particularly relevant to reconnaissance missions involving unfamiliar terrain, dynamic obstacles, and changing environmental conditions. Convolutional neural networks constitute an important foundation for visual perception, extracting spatial features from camera imagery to identify objects and environmental structures. Research conducted in South Africa highlights advances in deep learning architectures for remote-sensing object detection, illustrating the importance of feature representation and computational optimization in interpreting complex imagery (Adegun et al., 2023). Integrating visual recognition with depth measurements further enables autonomous aircraft to estimate obstacle characteristics and spatial positions, supporting adaptive collision avoidance in unstructured environments (Wang et al., 2020). Multimodal fusion architectures generally operate through early, intermediate, or late integration of sensor information. Early fusion combines measurements before feature extraction, whereas intermediate fusion integrates learned representations and late fusion combines independently generated predictions. Experimental research on offshore UAV landing demonstrates how early fusion can strengthen visual detection under adverse sensing conditions, improving the reliability of perception-dependent operations (Neves, Claro & Pinto, 2023). Recent developments increasingly employ deep learning to integrate radar and camera observations. Multistage fusion architectures combine radar-derived spatial information with visual features across successive processing stages, improving obstacle detection through complementary measurement characteristics (Wang et al., 2024). Similarly, joint classification networks integrating radar tracks and camera imagery demonstrate improved discrimination between aerial objects, highlighting the value of synchronized multimodal observations (Mehta et al., 2023). Beyond conventional sensor combinations, probabilistic fusion of neural-network predictions offers another mechanism for improving environmental interpretation. Research integrating optical, radar, and acoustic information demonstrates how independently trained convolutional models can contribute complementary classification evidence, reducing dependence on individual sensing modalities (Lee et al., 2023). Interdisciplinary investigations incorporating artificial intelligence and biosensor information further illustrate the broader applicability of intelligent sensor-data interpretation to complex detection problems (Olorunkosebi et al., 2023).

4. Obstacle Detection, Avoidance, and Navigation Strategies

Obstacle detection and avoidance constitute essential components of autonomous reconnaissance drone navigation, requiring continuous environmental perception, spatial interpretation, and

collision-free trajectory generation. Effective navigation architectures must distinguish static and dynamic hazards while accounting for aircraft dynamics, sensor uncertainty, and computational limitations. Vision-based approaches utilize image sequences and optical flow to infer obstacle proximity and guide corrective manoeuvres. Experimental quadrotor research demonstrates the feasibility of combining monocular visual perception with predefined route planning and real-time obstacle avoidance using onboard computation (Lin & Peng, 2021). LiDAR-based navigation provides complementary geometric information for mapping surrounding structures and detecting relatively small obstacles. Integrated perception-planning systems employing three-dimensional LiDAR, local mapping, and kinodynamic search have demonstrated autonomous avoidance of narrow and moving objects in cluttered environments. Such implementations highlight the importance of coupling sensor acquisition directly with responsive trajectory generation rather than treating obstacle recognition as an isolated computational task (Kong et al., 2021). Navigation strategies generally combine global path planning with local avoidance mechanisms. Global planning establishes feasible routes using available environmental information, whereas local planning modifies trajectories in response to newly detected hazards. Research involving autonomous mobile robots demonstrates how coordinated path optimization can address congestion and changing operational constraints (Akanbi & Sunday, 2024). Similarly, Nigerian research on motorcycle dispatch routing illustrates the importance of incorporating uncertain travel conditions and network accessibility into route optimization (Tiamiyu & Alaka, 2020).

For aerial platforms, optimization-based planners must additionally respect three-dimensional motion constraints. Gradient-based local planning frameworks reduce computational overhead by evaluating relevant collision constraints without constructing complete environmental distance fields, supporting efficient trajectory generation on embedded processors (Zhou et al., 2021).

Table 2. Comparative evaluation of obstacle detection and autonomous navigation strategies

Strategy	Primary function	Principal advantage	Main limitation
Vision-based detection	Identify obstacles using camera observations	Rich visual information	Sensitive to lighting and depth ambiguity
LiDAR-based detection	Estimate obstacle geometry and distance	Accurate spatial measurements	Payload and processing demands
Global path planning	Determine mission-level routes	Supports route optimization	Limited response to unforeseen obstacles
Local trajectory optimization	Generate collision-free flight adjustments	Responsive to nearby hazards	Depends on reliable local perception
Learning-based planning	Adapt navigation decisions from learned policies	Potential adaptability	Training dependence and generalization uncertainty
Risk-aware navigation	Incorporate hazardous regions into planning	Supports safer route selection	Requires dependable spatial risk information

Source: Author's synthesis of obstacle-detection and navigation approaches. The comparison identifies qualitative characteristics rather than results from a common experimental benchmark.

Learning-based strategies offer additional possibilities for adaptive trajectory selection. Egyptian research investigating cellular-network-supported machine learning illustrates how environmental and communication information can contribute to autonomous UAV route planning, particularly

where conventional positioning is unreliable (Afifi & Gadallah, 2022). However, learned policies require careful validation before deployment in unfamiliar reconnaissance environments.

Environmental risk assessment also influences navigation reliability. Spatial clustering research conducted in Nigeria demonstrates how geographical hazard patterns can inform risk prioritization, providing a methodological basis for incorporating location-dependent safety constraints into route planning (Alaka, Tiamiyu & Bolaji, 2021).

Beyond physical obstacles, sensor disturbances and environmental interference may impair autonomous navigation. South African research identifies sensor incapacitation and virtual obstacles as important considerations in robotic path planning, emphasizing the need to evaluate sensing reliability alongside algorithmic performance (Ngwenya, Ayomoh & Yadavalli, 2022).

5. Implementation Challenges, Emerging Technologies, and Future Directions

The practical implementation of multisensor fusion in embedded autonomous reconnaissance drones remains constrained by interconnected computational, environmental, and operational challenges. Although combining complementary sensors can improve obstacle perception and navigation continuity, integrating heterogeneous measurements introduces difficulties involving temporal synchronization, spatial calibration, uncertainty propagation, and inconsistent sampling frequencies. Small reconnaissance platforms face additional restrictions associated with payload capacity, onboard memory, processor performance, and battery endurance. Consequently, increasing sensing complexity does not necessarily improve operational reliability unless fusion architectures maintain measurement consistency and computational responsiveness. Research examining multisource UAV navigation identifies calibration, observability, and resilient fusion management as fundamental requirements for dependable state estimation under changing conditions (Ye et al., 2023). Computational resource limitations constitute another significant implementation barrier. Advanced vision processing, LiDAR mapping, and neural-network inference can impose substantial processing demands, potentially delaying obstacle recognition and trajectory correction. Emerging edge artificial intelligence architectures address these constraints by relocating computational tasks from remote cloud infrastructure to onboard processors or nearby edge devices. Nevertheless, practical deployment requires careful optimization of model complexity, energy consumption, communication bandwidth, and processing latency. Hardware-aware neural networks, adaptive workload allocation, and efficient inference scheduling offer promising approaches to achieving responsive autonomy without excessive computational expenditure (McEnroe, Wang & Liyanage, 2022).

Environmental uncertainty further undermines navigation reliability. Dust, precipitation, poor illumination, vibration, and electromagnetic interference can degrade sensor measurements and compromise the consistency of fused estimates. Vibration-based condition-monitoring research demonstrates the importance of extracting meaningful diagnostic information from mechanical signals, providing insights into sensor reliability and equipment-health assessment (Omoegun et al., 2023). Future reconnaissance architectures should therefore incorporate continuous sensor-health monitoring and measurement-quality assessment to distinguish genuine environmental hazards from instrumentation disturbances. Sensor faults represent another critical challenge, particularly when corrupted measurements influence localization and obstacle avoidance simultaneously. Recent transformer-based approaches demonstrate the potential of learning temporal patterns associated with impending UAV sensor failures, enabling earlier fault identification and improved classification of abnormal sensor behaviour (Ahmad et al., 2024). Developing fault-tolerant fusion mechanisms that automatically isolate unreliable measurements

and redistribute estimation responsibilities among functioning sensors remains an important research priority. Cybersecurity and communication resilience are equally significant. Reconnaissance drones may encounter communication interruptions, unauthorized access attempts, and compromised data transmission during distributed operations. Research involving cyber-physical protection in digital substations emphasizes the importance of secure communication, adaptive monitoring, and resilient control architectures (Shittu, Shittu & Olagunju, 2024). Nigerian research examining mobile-network coverage limitations also illustrates the practical consequences of uneven communication infrastructure, reinforcing the need for autonomous systems capable of operating without continuous external connectivity (Alaka, Ajayi & Bolaji, 2022). Emerging digital-twin technologies present opportunities for improving system reliability through virtual modelling, predictive diagnostics, and operational simulation. AI-enhanced digital twins have demonstrated applications in renewable-energy monitoring, suggesting transferable possibilities for evaluating drone component degradation and assessing alternative operating conditions before deployment (Shittu & Shittu, 2024).

Beyond technical development, regulatory readiness and operational capacity influence adoption. South African research identifies institutional approval processes and industry constraints as barriers to wider drone deployment, highlighting the importance of practical implementation frameworks (Mokoena et al., 2023). Future research should prioritize standardized field benchmarks, lightweight multimodal networks, uncertainty-aware fusion, adaptive sensor selection, and robust navigation during simultaneous sensing failures. Particular attention should be given to reproducible evaluations across diverse environmental conditions, ensuring that proposed improvements demonstrate measurable advantages in detection accuracy, navigation continuity, computational efficiency, and operational safety.

Conclusion

The review has examined the technological principles, integration requirements, and operational constraints governing reliable perception and navigation in embedded autonomous reconnaissance drones. Its objectives were addressed through a critical assessment of complementary sensing modalities, embedded processing architectures, probabilistic estimation, deep learning-based fusion, and obstacle avoidance strategies. The synthesis establishes that dependable autonomy does not arise from sensor quantity alone, but from the coordinated interpretation of measurements, timely state estimation, and navigation decisions consistent with available computational resources. Vision sensors provide rich contextual information, while LiDAR and radar strengthen geometric awareness under differing environmental conditions. Ultrasonic measurements offer localized proximity information, and inertial and positioning systems support continuous motion estimation. However, individual sensors remain vulnerable to noise, occlusion, interference, drift, or restricted operating ranges. Kalman-based methods provide structured uncertainty management, whereas learned multimodal representations offer opportunities for more adaptive environmental interpretation. Neither approach is universally superior: performance depends on sensor quality, calibration, operating conditions, and onboard processing limits. The review further identifies synchronization errors, energy consumption, limited payload capacity, unreliable communications, cybersecurity exposure, and insufficiently representative testing as obstacles to operational deployment. Effective collision avoidance consequently requires close coordination between perception, localization, trajectory planning, and flight control, particularly in cluttered or satellite-denied environments. Evidence from adjacent engineering fields offers useful design insights but cannot substitute for validation on airborne platforms.

Future research should prioritize lightweight, uncertainty-aware fusion algorithms that identify degraded sensors and preserve navigation continuity. Standardized experimental protocols should evaluate detection accuracy, localization error, end-to-end latency, energy expenditure, and collision avoidance across varied weather, lighting, and obstacle conditions. Field trials should complement simulation and examine failure recovery, real-time feasibility, and operational safety. Greater attention to secure communications, interpretable learning systems, and hardware-aware optimization would strengthen deployment readiness. Ultimately, advances will be most valuable when demonstrated through reproducible, mission-relevant evidence rather than isolated algorithmic performance claims in future autonomous reconnaissance applications.

IJCSMT

References

Adegun, A.A., Dombeu, J.V.F., Viriri, S. and Odindi, J. (2023) 'State-of-the-art deep learning methods for object detection in remote sensing satellite images', Sensors, 23(13), article 5849. DOI: 10.3390/s23135849.

Afifi, G. and Gadallah, Y. (2022) 'Cellular network-supported machine learning techniques for autonomous UAV trajectory planning', IEEE Access, 10, pp. 131996–132011. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3229171.

Ahmad, M.W., Akram, M.U., Mohsan, M.M., Saghar, K., Ahmad, R. and Butt, W.H. (2024) 'Transformer-based sensor failure prediction and classification framework for UAVs', Expert Systems with Applications, 248, article 123415. DOI: 10.1016/j.eswa.2024.123415.

Ahmed, M.M., Shawky, M.A., Zahran, S., Moussa, A., El-Shimy, N., Elmahallawy, A.A., Ansari, S., Shah, S.T. and Abdellatif, A.G. (2024) 'An experimental analysis of outdoor UAV localisation through diverse estimators and crowd-sensed data fusion', Physical Communication, 66, article 102475. DOI: 10.1016/j.phycom.2024.102475.

Alaka, O.B., Bolaji, O. and Tiamiyu, R. (2022) 'Mapping flood-exposed road segments from freely available satellite imagery during the 2022 flood season', IIARD International Journal of Geography and Environmental Management, 8(2), pp. 117–143. DOI: 10.56201/ijgem.v8.no2.2022.pg117.143.

Alatise, M.B. and Hancke, G.P. (2017) 'Pose estimation of a mobile robot based on fusion of IMU data and vision data using an extended Kalman filter', Sensors, 17(10), article 2164. DOI: 10.3390/s17102164.

Bultmann, S., Quenzel, J. and Behnke, S. (2023) 'Real-time multi-modal semantic fusion on unmanned aerial vehicles with label propagation for cross-domain adaptation', Robotics and Autonomous Systems, 159, article 104286. DOI: 10.1016/j.robot.2022.104286.

Elamin, A., Abdelaziz, N. and El-Rabbany, A. (2022) 'A GNSS/INS/LiDAR integration scheme for UAV-based navigation in GNSS-challenging environments', Sensors, 22(24), article 9908. DOI: 10.3390/s22249908.

Gohari, A., Ahmad, A.B., Rahim, R.B.A., Supa'at, A.S.M., Razak, S.A. and Gismalla, M.S.M. (2022) 'Involvement of surveillance drones in smart cities: A systematic review', IEEE Access, 10, pp. 56611–56628. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3177904.

Akanbi, O. and Sunday, E.A. (2024) 'An integrated path-planning and slotting optimization model for AMR-enabled high-density warehousing', International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies, 4(6), pp. 3226–3243. DOI: 10.62225/2583049X.2024.4.6.6181.

Alaka, O.B., Ajayi, O. and Bolaji, O. (2022) 'Mobile network coverage and road surface condition as joint constraints on digitally booked transport beyond state capitals', International Journal of Engineering and Modern Technology, 8(5), pp. 142–168. DOI: 10.56201/ijemt.v8.no5.2022.pg142.168.

Badrloo, S., Varshosaz, M., Pirasteh, S. and Li, J. (2022) 'Image-based obstacle detection methods for the safe navigation of unmanned vehicles: A review', Remote Sensing, 14(15), article 3824. DOI: 10.3390/rs14153824.

Broekman, A. and Gräbe, P.J. (2021) 'A low-cost, mobile real-time kinematic geolocation service for engineering and research applications', HardwareX, 10, article e00203. DOI: 10.1016/j.ohx.2021.e00203.

Debeunne, C. and Vivet, D. (2020) 'A review of visual-LiDAR fusion based simultaneous localization and mapping', Sensors, 20(7), article 2068. DOI: 10.3390/s20072068.

Forster, C., Carlone, L., Dellaert, F. and Scaramuzza, D. (2017) 'On-manifold preintegration for real-time visual-inertial odometry', IEEE Transactions on Robotics, 33(1), pp. 1–21. DOI: 10.1109/TRO.2016.2597321.

Kangunde, V., Jamisola, R.S. and Theophilus, E.K. (2021) 'A review on drones controlled in real-time', International Journal of Dynamics and Control, 9, pp. 1832–1846. DOI: 10.1007/s40435-020-00737-5.

Kendoul, F. (2012) 'Survey of advances in guidance, navigation, and control of unmanned rotorcraft systems', Journal of Field Robotics, 29(2), pp. 315–378. DOI: 10.1002/rob.20414.

Lee, H., Han, S., Byeon, J.-I., Han, S., Myung, R., Joung, J. and Choi, J. (2023) 'CNN-based UAV detection and classification using sensor fusion', IEEE Access, 11, pp. 68791–68808. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3293124.

Alaka, O.B., Tiamiyu, R. and Bolaji, O. (2021) 'Spatial clustering of road traffic crash locations on intercity corridors using national road safety records', International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 2(6), pp. 1086–1101. DOI: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.6.1086-1101.

Boucher, P.B., Hockridge, E.G., Singh, J. and Davies, A.B. (2023) 'Flying high: Sampling savanna vegetation with UAV-lidar', Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 14(7), pp. 1668–1686. DOI: 10.1111/2041-210X.14081.

Chang, Y., Cheng, Y., Manzoor, U. and Murray, J. (2023) 'A review of UAV autonomous navigation in GPS-denied environments', Robotics and Autonomous Systems, 170, article 104533. DOI: 10.1016/j.robot.2023.104533.

Hajiyev, C., Cilden-Guler, D. and Hacizade, U. (2020) 'Two-stage Kalman filter for fault-tolerant estimation of wind speed and UAV flight parameters', Measurement Science Review, 20(1), pp. 35–42. DOI: 10.2478/msr-2020-0005.

Loots, M., Grobbelaar, S. and van der Lingen, E. (2022) 'A review of remote-sensing unmanned aerial vehicles in the mining industry', Journal of the Southern African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, 122(7), pp. 387–396. DOI: 10.17159/2411-9717/1602/2022.

McEnroe, P., Wang, S. and Liyanage, M. (2022) 'A survey on the convergence of edge computing and AI for UAVs: Opportunities and challenges', IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 9(17), pp. 15435–15459. DOI: 10.1109/JIOT.2022.3176400.

Mehta, V., Dadboud, F., Bolic, M. and Mantegh, I. (2023) 'A deep learning approach for drone detection and classification using radar and camera sensor fusion', in 2023 IEEE Sensors Applications Symposium (SAS), pp. 1–6. DOI: 10.1109/SAS58821.2023.10254123.

Mejias, L., Diguet, J.-P., Dezan, C., Campbell, D., Kok, J. and Coppin, G. (2021) 'Embedded computation architectures for autonomy in unmanned aircraft systems (UAS)', Sensors, 21(4), article 1115. DOI: 10.3390/s21041115.

Santoso, F., Garratt, M.A. and Anavatti, S.G. (2017) 'Visual-inertial navigation systems for aerial robotics: Sensor fusion and technology', IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering, 14(1), pp. 260–275. DOI: 10.1109/TASE.2016.2582752.

Chandran, N.K., Sultan, M.T.H., Łukaszewicz, A., Shahar, F.S., Holovatyy, A. and Giernacki, W. (2023) 'Review on type of sensors and detection method of anti-collision system of unmanned aerial vehicle', Sensors, 23(15), article 6810. DOI: 10.3390/s23156810.

Cheng, J., Ren, P. and Deng, T. (2023) 'A novel ranging and IMU-based method for relative positioning of two-MAV formation in GNSS-denied environments', Sensors, 23(9), article 4366. DOI: 10.3390/s23094366.

Jibia, M.S. (2024) 'Comparative analysis of aircraft position tracking using a conventional Kalman filter and a PSO-optimized Kalman filter', International Journal of Innovative Scientific & Engineering Technologies Research, 12(4), pp. 54–61. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.14187645.

Kong, F., Xu, W., Cai, Y. and Zhang, F. (2021) 'Avoiding dynamic small obstacles with onboard sensing and computation on aerial robots', IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters, 6(4), pp. 7869–7876. DOI: 10.1109/LRA.2021.3101877.

Mokoena, Q., Daniyan, I., Mpofu, K. and Abisuga, O. (2023) 'Investigating the technological growth of the drone industry in South Africa', South African Journal of Industrial Engineering, 34(2), pp. 106–123. DOI: 10.7166/34-2-2817.

Neves, F.S., Claro, R.M. and Pinto, A.M. (2023) 'End-to-end detection of a landing platform for offshore UAVs based on a multimodal early fusion approach', Sensors, 23(5), article 2434. DOI: 10.3390/s23052434.

Omoegun, G.O., Sunday, E.A., Essien, M.A. and Oluokun, O.A. (2024) 'Implementing smart automation solutions in medium-scale industries using SCADA systems', International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 10(5), pp. 1207–1231. DOI: 10.32628/CSEIT241061242.

Palossi, D., Zimmerman, N., Burrello, A., Conti, F., Müller, H., Gambardella, L.M., Benini, L., Giusti, A. and Guzzi, J. (2022) 'Fully onboard AI-powered human-drone pose estimation on ultralow-power autonomous flying nano-UAVs', IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 9(3), pp. 1913–1929. DOI: 10.1109/JIOT.2021.3091643.

Sunday, E.A. and Omoegun, G.O. (2022) 'Smart fault detection in HVAC systems using sensor-based monitoring', International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, 7(4), pp. 380–403.

Du, H., Wang, W., Xu, C., Xiao, R. and Sun, C. (2020) 'Real-time onboard 3D state estimation of an unmanned aerial vehicle in multi-environments using multi-sensor data fusion', Sensors, 20(3), article 919. DOI: 10.3390/s20030919.

Ejofodomi, O.A., Gideon, E.N., Oladipo, G.O. and Oshomah, E.R. (2014) 'Automated detection of architectural detection in mammograms using template matching', International Journal of Biomedical Science and Engineering, 2(1), pp. 1–6. DOI: 10.11648/j.ijbse.20140201.11.

Lin, H.-Y. and Peng, X.-Z. (2021) 'Autonomous quadrotor navigation with vision-based obstacle avoidance and path planning', IEEE Access, 9, pp. 102450–102459. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3097945.

Mourikis, A.I. and Roumeliotis, S.I. (2007) 'A multi-state constraint Kalman filter for vision-aided inertial navigation', in Proceedings of the 2007 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA). Rome, Italy, 10–14 April, pp. 3565–3572. DOI: 10.1109/ROBOT.2007.364024.

Olorunkosebi, M.T., Ayinde, M.K., Mensah, G.K. and Penddah, A.L. (2023) 'Integrating artificial intelligence and advanced biosensors for rapid and sensitive detection of antimicrobial-resistant foodborne pathogens', Journal of Advances in Microbiology Research, 4(2), pp. 175–182. DOI: 10.22271/micro.2023.v4.i2b.293.

Omoegun, G.O., Sunday, E.A., Essien, M.A. and Oluokun, O.A. (2023) 'Vibration-based condition monitoring of rotating machinery using LabVIEW', International Journal of Scientific Research in Civil Engineering, 7(6), pp. 82–108. DOI: 10.32628/IJSRCE237529.

Osaro, P.A., Olukaejire, S.J., Ifiora, C.C. and Kama, G.H. (2024) 'Unmanned aerial vehicle for pipeline surveillance: A review', Journal of Energy Research and Reviews, 16(6), pp. 47–61. DOI: 10.9734/jenrr/2024/v16i6357.

Shittu, M.A., Shittu, H., Adeleke, O.J. and Adedokun, O.J. (2023) 'Digital twin modeling for real-time monitoring and fault detection in smart substations', International Journal of Industrial Engineering Research and Development, 14(2), pp. 25–44. DOI: 10.34218/IJIERD_14_02_003.

Wang, K., Kooistra, L., Pan, R., Wang, W. and Valente, J. (2024) 'UAV-based simultaneous localization and mapping in outdoor environments: A systematic scoping review', Journal of Field Robotics, 41(5), pp. 1617–1642. DOI: 10.1002/rob.22325.

Lin, H.-Y. and Zhan, J.-R. (2023) 'GNSS-denied UAV indoor navigation with UWB incorporated visual inertial odometry', Measurement, 206, article 112256. DOI: 10.1016/j.measurement.2022.112256.

Lu, L., Fasano, G., Carrio, A., Lei, M., Bavle, H. and Campoy, P. (2023) 'A comprehensive survey on non-cooperative collision avoidance for micro aerial vehicles: Sensing and obstacle detection', Journal of Field Robotics, 40(6), pp. 1697–1720. DOI: 10.1002/rob.22189.

Ngwenya, T., Ayomoh, M. and Yadavalli, S. (2022) 'Virtual obstacles for sensors incapacitation in robot navigation: A systematic review of 2D path planning', Sensors, 22(18), article 6943. DOI: 10.3390/s22186943.

Shakhatreh, H., Sawalmeh, A.H., Al-Fuqaha, A., Dou, Z., Almaita, E., Khalil, I., Othman, N.S., Khreishah, A. and Guizani, M. (2019) 'Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs): A survey on civil applications and key research challenges', IEEE Access, 7, pp. 48572–48634. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2909530.

Shittu, H.A. and Shittu, M.A. (2024) 'AI-powered digital twins for predictive maintenance and operational optimization of renewable energy systems', International Journal of Science, Architecture, Technology and Environment, 1(7), pp. 151–163. DOI: 10.63680/ijsate0324085.011.

Sunday, E.A. and Omoegun, G.O. (2022) 'Automation of process control systems using Siemens TIA Portal: A case study approach', International Journal of Scientific Research in Mechanical and Materials Engineering, 6(5), pp. 30–55.

Wang, D., Li, W., Liu, X., Li, N. and Zhang, C. (2020) 'UAV environmental perception and autonomous obstacle avoidance: A deep learning and depth camera combined solution', Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 175, article 105523. DOI: 10.1016/j.compag.2020.105523.

Yang, B., Yang, E., Yu, L. and Niu, C. (2023) 'Adaptive extended Kalman filter based fusion approach for high precision UAV positioning in extremely confined environments', IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics, 28(1), pp. 543–554. DOI: 10.1109/TMECH.2022.3203875.

Ma, Z., Yao, W., Niu, Y., Lin, B. and Liu, T. (2021) 'UAV low-altitude obstacle detection based on the fusion of LiDAR and camera', Autonomous Intelligent Systems, 1, article 12. DOI: 10.1007/s43684-021-00014-y.

Shittu, H.A., Shittu, M.A. and Olagunju, F. (2024) 'Cyber physical resilience in digital substations: IoT-enabled adaptive protection for secure DER integration', International Journal of Science, Architecture, Technology and Environment, 1(3), pp. 81–99. DOI: 10.63680/ijsate032532.08.

Tiamiyu, R. and Alaka, O.B. (2020) 'Routing of motorcycle dispatch deliveries on congested and poorly connected urban networks in Lagos', International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 1(5), pp. 1183–1198. DOI: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2020.1.5.1183-1198.

Tiamiyu, R., Alaka, O.B., Bolaji, O. and Ajayi, O. (2023) 'Combining field survey and open geospatial data for transport infrastructure decision support in data-scarce regions', International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies, 3(6), pp. 3071–3084.

Tomic, T., Schmid, K., Lutz, P., Domel, A., Kassecker, M., Mair, E., Grixa, I.L., Ruess, F., Suppa, M. and Burschka, D. (2012) 'Toward a fully autonomous UAV: Research platform for indoor and outdoor urban search and rescue', IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine, 19(3), pp. 46–56. DOI: 10.1109/MRA.2012.2206473.

Wang, X., Wang, X., Zhou, Z. and Song, Y. (2024) 'A deep-learning method based on the multistage fusion of radar and camera in UAV obstacle avoidance', IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, 60(5), pp. 6734–6751. DOI: 10.1109/TAES.2024.3406495.

You, W., Li, F., Liao, L. and Huang, M. (2020) 'Data fusion of UWB and IMU based on unscented Kalman filter for indoor localization of quadrotor UAV', IEEE Access, 8, pp. 64971–64981. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2985053.

Youn, W., Ko, H., Choi, H., Choi, I., Baek, J.-H. and Myung, H. (2021) 'Collision-free autonomous navigation of a small UAV using low-cost sensors in GPS-denied environments', International Journal of Control, Automation and Systems, 19(2), pp. 953–968. DOI: 10.1007/s12555-019-0797-7.

Ye, X., Song, F., Zhang, Z. and Zeng, Q. (2023) 'A review of small UAV navigation system based on multisource sensor fusion', IEEE Sensors Journal, 23(17), pp. 18926–18948. DOI: 10.1109/JSEN.2023.3292427.

Zahran, S., Moussa, A. and El-Sheimy, N. (2019) 'Enhanced UAV navigation using Hall-magnetic and air-mass flow sensors in indoor environment', ISPRS Annals of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, IV-2/W5, pp. 187–194. DOI: 10.5194/isprs-annals-IV-2-W5-187-2019.

Zhou, X., Wang, Z., Ye, H., Xu, C. and Gao, F. (2021) 'EGO-Planner: An ESDF-free gradient-based local planner for quadrotors', IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters, 6(2), pp. 478–485. DOI: 10.1109/LRA.2020.3047728.